Consumer Protection Laws in Auto Lending

Jen Brown & Mark Jansen

University of Utah

February 2019 Columbia/BPI Bank Regulation Conference

We ask: How do **usury** and **wage garnishment laws** affect sub-prime auto loan **origination** and **outcomes**? RESEARCH QUESTION

- Proprietary dataset 250,000 sub-prime auto loans
 - Originated 1995–2017 \longrightarrow follow loan outcomes over time
 - $\bullet\,$ Covers 44 U.S. states \longrightarrow examine variation in laws across states

- We generate a measure of borrowers' creditworthiness
 - We predict counterfactual APR in the absence of a usury limit

Dealers **circumvent** usury laws. RESULT

- Borrowers trade off interest rate and principal to face same monthly payment in states with and without usury laws.
 - e.g. 10,000 at 25% or 11,078 at 20% for 60 months = 294/month



• Consistent with patterns in aggregate data (Melzer & Schroeder 2017)

Dealers **circumvent** usury laws. RESULT

- Borrowers trade off interest rate and principal to face same monthly payment in states with and without usury laws.
 - e.g. 10,000 at 25% or 11,078 at 20% for 60 months = 294/month



Loan outcomes **do not vary** with usury law. RESULT

• Borrower default rates are **similar** despite higher opening balances.



However, loan outcomes **vary** with wage garnishment law. RESULT

- 8 states prohibit/restrict wage garnishment (Hynes and Posner 2002).
- Default rates are **higher** in states that **prohibit** wage garnishment.



996

Why? **All borrowers** in states **without** wage garnishment face **higher** payments. RESULT

- Defaulting borrowers are protected from collections
- Payments in states with collections restrictions are higher



Total loan costs for non-defaulting borrowers are **higher** when wage garnishment is **prohibited**.

- When borrowers are protected from post-default collections, total loan costs are **lower**.
- When payments are higher are borrowers who don't default, total loan costs for non-defaulting borrowers are **higher**.



▲ロト ▲圖 ト ▲ 画 ト ▲ 画 - - の Q ()・

Usury and wage garnishment laws protect some, **but may hurt**, other borrowers.

- Although dealers adjust loan terms, usury laws have **little** impact on loan outcomes: total borrowing costs and default rates are similar
- Wage garnishment laws affect loan terms and outcomes
 - With wage garnishment: lenders can collect from bad borrowers
 - Without wage garnishment: lenders must account *ex ante* for expected mix of good and bad borrowers.
- Why does this matter?
 - Defaults and repossession have long-lasting impact on individuals' formal credit scores, access to other forms of consumer credit, and employment prospects (Raphael et al. 2001)
 - We contribute to policy conversation by highlighting distributional consequences of two common consumer protection laws